

## TO TATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

## DEPARTMENT OF THE ARM

File: 60.10

U. S. ARMY TRANSPORTATION CENTER FORT EUSTIS, VIRGINIA 23604-5000

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

ATZF-PWE

June 10, 1996

MEMORANDUM FOR Commander, US Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, HTRW Branch, ATTN: CENAB-EH-HM (Myron Price),10 South Howard Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201

SUBJECT: Virginia Department of Environmental Quality's (VDEQ's) Comments to Responses on Draft Remedial Investigation Report, Fort Story, Virginia

- 1. Enclosed are VDEQ's comments to our responses for the *Draft Remedial Investigation Report, Volume I and II, Fort Story, Virginia,* dated December 1995. Please have Malcolm Pirnie address these comments. We would like the opportunity to review the final resolution of these comments before the final document is prepared.
- 2. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Dan Musel at (804) 878-3817.

Encl

Stephen A. McCall

Chief, Environmental and

Natural Resources Division

Copy Furnished:

Malcolm Pirnie, Tony Pace



## COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

## DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Peter W. Schmidt Director

May 24, 1996

P. O. Box 10009 Richmond, Virginia 23240-0009 (804) 762-4000

Commander
US Army Transportation Center
ATZF-PWE (Musel)
Building 1407, Room 111
Fort Eustis, Virginia 23604-5332

Dear Mr. Musel:

Thank you for providing the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Federal Facilities Restoration and Superfund, the opportunity to review the draft "Remedial Investigation Report Firefighter Training Area, LARC 60 Maintenance Area, Auto Craft Building Area, Fort Story, Virginia December 1995".

Attached are the staffs' comments concerning the Fort Story Report. If you have any questions concerning these comments please contact me at (804) 698-4192.

Sincerely,

Durwood H. Willis

Office of Federal Facilities Restoration and Superfund

cc: Erica S. Dameron, DEQ Larry McBride, DEQ Comments on the responses to comments on "Remedial Investigation Report Firefighter Training Area, LARC 60 Maintenance Area, Auto Craft Building Area, Fort Story December, 1995".

- 6. The response adequately explains why there were no inorganics analyzed for 4MW-4. However, it does not explain what will be done to fill the resulting data Gap.
- 35. Were the trigger levels in the PA/SI adequate to determine that there is no potential for either human health or ecological risk? Were data provided that verify that pesticide levels were consistent with levels resulting from pest control (i.e. anthropogenic background). The soil boring within the LARC Maintenance Area contains the DDT metabolite, p,p'DDD at a concentration of 2.9 mg/kg. Additional discussion regarding this issue should be included in the RI document. Additional investigation of all three sites would adequately address the original comment.
- 36. Were the trigger levels used in the PA/SI adequate to determine that there is no potential for either human health or ecological risk?
- 59. This explanation should be included in the text of the report.
- 65. What is the source of the results for fluoranthene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, and pyrene provided in the report? Surface soil sample SB07-004-24 is apparently mislabeled in the data summary table. This should be corrected or explained.
- 67., 68., 70. The report should provide a reference that would verify the assumption that the PAHs detected in this area are due to leaching from the asphalt.